Appendix III - K

Tsuen Wan District Summaries of Written Representations

Item	DCCAs	No. of	Representations	EAC's views
no.		representations	Kepresentations	EAC S VIEWS
no.	concernea	representations		
1	K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K08 – Allway K13 – Luk Yeung	1	The representation proposes to: (a) move Kam Fung Garden from K07 to K08 as it is closely related to Tsuen Tak Garden, Joyful Building, Sheeny Terrace and Summit Terrace; (b) move Allway Gardens from K08 to K07 so that it is in the same DCCA with Tsuen Wan Centre; (c) move the village areas of K08, such as the Cliveden, to K13 to facilitate transport convenience; and (d) suggest renaming the newly formed DCCA as "Tsuen Tak" or	The representation is not accepted because: (i) it would affect the existing boundaries of K07 and K08, which should not be changed since the population of both DCCAs are within the permissible range; and (ii) the resultant population of K07 and K08 will exceed the permissible limits under the proposal: K07: 22,102 (+27.94%) K08: 8,363 (-51.59%)
2	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West	1	"Tsuen King Circuit". The representation proposes the following: (a) including Rhine Terrace within the constituency boundary description of K12;	The representation is accepted because the resultant population of both DCCAs will still fall within the permissible range: K11: 20,418 (+18.19%)
	K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East		(b) moving Pai Min Kok Village in K11 to K12 as it is located between Sea Crest Villa Phase 2 and 3 and shares the same transportation facilities with the	K12: 21,374 (+23.73%) and valid reasons are given in the representation.

Item no.	DCCAs concerned	No. of representations	Representations	EAC's views
			residents therein.	
3	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East		This representation proposes: (a) to move Lido Garden in Sham Tseng from K12 to K11; (b) to move Ma Wan to any DCCA in Tsuen Wan town centre which has direct transport. As there is no kaito route serving Sham Tseng and Ma Wan, the residents have difficulty to contact the DC member; and (c) to include all 4 phases of Sea Crest Villa in one DCCA, if possible.	Proposals (a) - (c) are not accepted because: Proposals (a) and (c) (i) The aim of moving Sea Crest Villa Phases 1 to 3 with other residential buildings nearby to K12 is to alleviate the population overflow of K11 (24,810, +43.62%). (ii) The EAC has considered including Sea Crest Villa Phase 4 in K12 but the resultant population of K12 will exceed the upper permissible limit (22,397, +29.65%). Proposal (b) The population of Ma Wan is 11,193. The move of Ma Wan to other DCCAs in Tsuen Wan town centre will cause the population of the DCCAs to exceed the upper permissible limit.
4	K11 – Tsuen Wan Rural West K12 – Tsuen Wan Rural East	1	the following: (a) to move the area of Tsing Lung Tau from	Proposals (a) – (c) are not accepted because: Proposal (a) The resultant population of K12 will exceed the upper permissible limit (22,906, +32.60%). Proposals (b) and (c) The alternation of district boundaries is outside the EAC's jurisdiction.

Item no.	DCCAs concerned	No. of representations	Representations	EAC's views
5	K13 – Luk Yeung K17 – Cheung Shek	1	This representation proposes to move Hoi Pa Village Northeast Terrace from K13 to K17 because its historical background, culture and way of living are the same as Hoi Pa Resite Village in K17.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) Hoi Pa Village Northeast Terrace is geographically separated from Hoi Pa Resite Village by Yi Pei Chun; and (ii) Hoi Pa Village Northeast Terrace and Hoi Pa Resite Village has been in K13 and K17 since 1999 respectively. There is also another Hoi Pa Resite Village, ie Hoi Pa San Tsuen, in K14 since 1999.
6	K14 – Lei Muk Shue East K15 – Lei Muk Shue West	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals for these two DCCAs.	The supporting view is noted.