

**Tsuen Wan District
Summaries of Written Representations**

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
1	All DCCAs	9	These representations support the demarcation proposals for all DCCAs in the district. But four of these representations indicate their objections should there be any other representations proposing transferring Fairview Garden from K13 to K16 because they think the housing types and the residents' needs which require the DC member's service are different in these two areas.	The supporting views are noted.
2	K07 – Tsuen Wan Centre K08 – Allway	1	This representation proposes to retain Kam Fung Garden in K08 because: (a) it would adversely affect the voter turnout rate because the residents of Kam Fung Garden have got used to casting their votes at the polling station in Allway; (b) it would adversely affect the community integrity of Tsuen Wan Centre; and (c) geographically, Kam Fung Garden is closer to Allway than to Tsuen Wan Centre.	The representation is not accepted because: (i) the resultant population in K07 (12,367) would exceed the lower permissible limit (-28.07%); (ii) the location of polling stations is not a consideration for delineating DCCAs; and (iii) no substantial reason in support of reason (b) is presented.
3	K08 – Allway K12 –	1	This representation proposes to allocate Chuen Lung Village from K08 to K12.	The representation is not accepted because Chuen Lung Village was transferred from K12 to K08 in the 1999 DCs Election at the request of

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
	Tsuen Wan Rural East			the Tsuen Wan Rural Committee, on account of the village's ties with the Tsuen Wan Town Centre. With the population distribution and geographical factors taken into consideration, it would be more appropriate to retain the village in K08.
4	K14 – Lei Muk Shue East K15 – Lei Muk Shue West	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals for these DCCAs.	The supporting view is noted.
5	K14 – Lei Muk Shue East K15 – Lei Muk Shue West	2	These representations object to transferring Yeung Shue House of Lei Muk Shue Estate from K14 to K15 because: (a) Yeung Shue House is geographically separated from the other blocks of Lei Muk Shue Estate in K15; and (b) Yeung Shue House is closely linked with Toa Shue House and Fung Shue House in K14 in terms of community concerns and building management; they are new blocks in the estate and have formed a community of their own and the transfer of Yeung Shue House to K15 would affect the community integrity.	The representations are not accepted because: (i) the resultant population in K15 (10,487) would fall below the lower permissible limit (-39.01%); (ii) the reasons given are not sufficient; and (iii) there is a representation supporting the proposals for K14 and K15 (see item 4).

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
6	K16 – Shek Wai Kok K17 – Cheung Shan	1	This representation supports the demarcation proposals for these DCCAs.	The supporting view is noted.
7	K16 – Shek Wai Kok K17 – Cheung Shan	3	These representations object to transferring Shek Lan House of Shek Wai Kok Estate from K17 to K16 because: (a) Shek Lan House has close relations with Shek Kuk House and Shek Tsui House in terms of building management, community setting and geographical link; and (b) if only Shek Lan House is transferred to K16, the community integrity of these three buildings would be hampered.	The representations are not accepted because: (i) the resultant population in K16 (12,804) would fall below the lower permissible limit (-25.53%); (ii) the reasons given are not sufficient; and (iii) there is a representation supporting the proposals for K16 and K17 (see item 6).

Tsuen Wan District
Oral Representations Received at the Public forum on 24 January 2003

<i>Item no.</i>	<i>DCCAs concerned</i>	<i>No. of representations</i>	<i>Representations</i>	<i>EAC's views</i>
8	K16 – Shek Wai Kok K17 – Cheung Shan	1	This representation proposes: (a) same as item 7(a); and (b) renaming K17 as “Shek Cheung” because it would better reflect the identity of the DCCA.	(i) For (a), see item 7. (ii) The proposal of renaming the DCCA is accepted , but it should be renamed as “Cheung Shek” because Cheung Shan Estate has a larger population (6,023) than Shek Wai Kok Estate (Part) (5,631).